

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,311	02/28/2002	Eiji Saruwatari	04329.2750	6835
22852	7590 11/28/2005		EXAM	INER
	I, HENDERSON, FAI	MEEK, JACOB M		
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2637	

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/084,311	SARUWATARI, EIJI
Office Action Summary	. Examiner	Art Unit
	Jacob Meek	2637
The MAILING DATE of this commun	lication appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma munication. tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) If y will, by statute, cause the application to becom	JNICATION.  Ity a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Ite ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) file</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract</li> </ol>	2b)⊠ This action is non-final. for allowance except for formal m	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 - 20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 - 18 is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from consideration.	·
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the specific to the	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeg the correction is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies</li></ul>	documents have been received. documents have been received i of the priority documents have be onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948) Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

has been withdrawn.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments, see pages 16 18, filed 9/15/2005, with respect to claims 1 5, 9
   13 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1 5, and 9 13
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19 and 20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (US-6,480,315).

With regard to claim 19, Brown discloses a method of monitoring a received optical signal comprising: detecting amplitude of the signal (see figure 2, 38); detecting noise power contained in the signal (see figure 2, 40 and column 3, lines 51 – 58); and controlling a difference level to be proportional to noise power of the signal (see column 4, lines 33 – 38). Brown discloses use of detected amplitude for control of difference level (see column 4, lines 26 – 30 and lines 52 - 59). Brown is silent with respect to different threshold levels and inverse proportionality. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 2637

time of invention that calculation could be performed using an inverse proportionality of amplitude signal, and that threshold can be adjusted by adjustment of signal level.

With regard to claim 20, Brown discloses a method of monitoring a received optical signal comprising: controlling an amplitude of the signal to be constant (see figure 4, 42 and column 6, lines 19 - 26); detecting noise power contained in the signal (see figure 4, 36, 40 and column 3, lines 51 – 58); and controlling a difference level to be proportional to noise power of the signal (see column 4, lines 33 – 38). Brown discloses use of detected amplitude for control of difference level (see column 4, lines 26 – 30 and lines 52 - 59). Brown is silent with respect to different threshold levels. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that threshold can be adjusted by adjustment of signal level.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1 18 are allowed.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Applicant's combination of elements and functionality as recited in claims 1 18 do not appear to be anticipated or rendered obvious by prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2637

### Other Cited Prior Art

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Taga et al (US 2003/020805A1) discloses an adaptive threshold control with many aspects of applicant's claimed invention.

Gitlin (US-5,191,462) discloses an apparatus with aspects of applicant's claimed invention.

Kato et al (US-4,700,392) discloses an apparatus with noise power detection and threshold setting controls.

Nash (US-4,241,236) discloses an apparatus with noise power detection capabilities.

NPL references disclose need for adaptive threshold capability in optical receivers.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Meek whose telephone number is (571)272-3013. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571)272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HEN GHEBRETINSAE

JMM 11/21/05